

## Appendix D

1. Please see original criteria in Appendix B - as laid out in the Appendix X1 of the Council's constitution - see below:

| Criteria Number | Criteria Description  | Detail  |
|-----------------|---|---|
| 1               | The need for the scheme   | Here we would expect the applicant to explain the need for and the benefits of the scheme. We have asked for the applicant to respond specifically in regard to the Economic, Social and Environmental needs of the District and its residents. We will therefore award points under each section:  |
|                 |   | Economic - are there benefits to the economy?   |
|                 |   | Social - what is the benefit to the local community or wider community.   |
|                 |   | Environmental - Are there clear benefits to the environment by implementing this scheme. Whilst we are aware that new projects would improve the immediate environment, bids would be looked upon more favorably if they included a wider environment benefit. For example landscaping improvements to a wider area, enhancements to wildlife, enhancements to the overall appearance of the wider site etc.  |
| 2               | Does the scheme proposed support local or strategic infrastructure.                           | Schemes that will provide for key infrastructure projects are likely to have a greater impact i.e. medical, schools, highways, flooding will receive higher scores. Those identified in the Council's Infrastructure Plan (Reg 123 List) or Infrastructure Delivery Plan will provide towards a clear local need and will receive a higher score. Evidence should also be provided to demonstrate a strong link between new development and the bid project. Projects which also can be shown to support the local community with a clear community benefit will also be looked upon favorably.   |
| 3               | Working in partnership  | Has the applicant provided evidence that they are working in partnership with one or more organisations. We will look at the type of partners involved, how formal the Partnership is and the amount of involvement from all partners. Please note; we will take into account those infrastructure/statutory providers that do not need to work in partnership.   |
| 4               | Is the Bid scheme part of an existing Strategy/Plan   | We would expect the scheme to be put forward as part of an existing Strategy or Plan. This could include Neighbourhood or Parish plans. It could also include regional strategies, economic strategies, Work Programmes by statutory bodies or if it has been identified as a key or much needed project.   |
| 5               | Public Benefit  | It is likely that bids are looking to provide the greatest public benefit will be looked upon more favourably than those that do not bring a greater benefit to the wider community. Definition: We are therefore looking for schemes that will provide something that is advantageous or good; that will relate to, or affect a population or a community as a whole.  |
| 6               | Does the scheme show that funding has been maximised from other sources                       | The majority of the money to fund a project should not come from CIL. It should not be used to fund entire projects. Please note; schemes that will be relying totally on CIL will not be considered favorably. Schemes that already have a large amount/majority funding in place will receive a higher score. The security of the funding should also be considered. The majority of funding should be sought from other sources.   |
| 7               | Deliverability  | Through their bid we would expect the applicant to show evidence that the project is well managed. Have they provided for example:<br>- clear dates for start and finish of the project.<br>- details of the management of the project and timescales<br>- details of when they will provide updates to SDC<br>whether planning permission or other consents is required or sought? Bids that have planning permission in place will be looked upon favourably. This assessment should also include considering whether the works require PP or whether the proposal is permitted development. This assessment should also ensure that the applicant has checked this issue. It is considered that large scale projects which are supported by a number of neighbourhood, local and business plans are unlikely to have all their paperwork and funding in place. Therefore this part of the assessment should also consider the benefits of a larger scheme against the fact that they do not have all their permissions in place. |
| 8               | Does the Bid have local support?  | In particular, does the Bid have the support of a local member, a local organisation or business and/or the Parish and Town Council? Bids that have local support are more likely to be looked upon favourably.   |
| 9               | Has the project already had CIL funding?  | A lower score will be given for those projects which have already received CIL funding via the CIL Spending Board. Unless a strong justification can be provided as to why further funding is required. Projects which are working in Partnership and include CIL funding from Parish or Town Councils will be looked upon more favorably.  |
| 10              | Evaluation of the overall benefits of the scheme and the benefit it provides to the community | Higher scores will be given to those projects which show that they have sought the majority of funding from other sources and overall provide clear evidence of a community benefit or need. Projects where the CIL money would complete the scheme will also be scored highly.   |

2 It is suggested that section 9 is amended to include the following:

|   |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| 9 | Has the project already had CIL funding? | A lower score will be given for those projects which have already received CIL funding via the CIL Spending Board or have benefited from CIL exemption. Unless a strong justification can be provided as to why further funding is required.... |
|---|--|---|

3. It is also suggested that relevance to out of date documents is also amended so the criteria now refers to the our Infrastructure Delivery Plan and our Infrastructure Funding Statement rather than our Reg 123 list which has been replaced. See new criteria laid out in section 2 below:

| Criteria Number  | Criteria Description  | Detail   |
|--|---|--|
| 1  | The need for the scheme   | Here we would expect the applicant to explain the need for and the benefits of the scheme. We have asked for the applicant to respond specifically in regard to the Economic, Social and Environmental needs of the District and its residents. We will therefore award points under each section:   |
|  |   | Economic - are there benefits to the economy?  |
|  |   | Social - what is the benefit to the local community or wider community.  |
|  |   | Environmental - Are there clear benefits to the environment by implementing this scheme. Whilst we are aware that new projects would improve the immediate environment, bids would be looked upon more favourably if they included a wider environment benefit. For example landscaping improvements to a wider area, enhancements to wildlife, enhancements to the overall appearance of the wider site etc.  |
| 2  | Net Zero Ambitions  | How does the scheme contribute towards the Council's net zero ambitions? A scheme that does not contribute all will receive a lower score. Those which clearly help and provide evidence to show that the project put forward will help produce less or no green house emissions will receive a higher score.  |
| 3  | Does the scheme proposed support local or strategic infrastructure.                           | Schemes that will provide for key infrastructure projects are likely to have a greater impact i.e. medical, schools, highways, flooding will receive higher scores. Those identified in the Council's Infrastructure Delivery Plan or Infrastructure Funding Statement (or any document superseding these) will receive a higher score. Evidence should also be provided to demonstrate a strong link between new development and the bid project. Projects which also can be shown to support the local community with a clear community benefit will also be looked upon favourably. |
| 4  | Working in partnership  | Has the applicant provided evidence that they are working in partnership with one or more organisation. We will look at the type of partners involved, how formal the Partnership is and the amount of involvement from all partners. Please note; we will take into account those infrastructure/statutory providers that do not need to work in partnership.   |
| 5  | Is the Bid scheme part of an existing Strategy/Plan   | We would expect the scheme to be put forward as part of an existing Strategy or Plan. This could include Neighbourhood or Parish plans. It could also include regional strategies, economic strategies, work programmes by statutory bodies or if it has been identified as a key or much needed project.  |
| 6  | Public Benefit  | It is likely that bids are looking to provide the greatest public benefit will be looked upon more favourably than those that do not bring a greater benefit to the wider community. Definition: We are therefore looking for schemes that will provide something that is advantageous or good; that will relate to, or affecting a population or a community as a whole.  |
| 7  | Does the scheme show that funding has been maximised from other sources                       | The majority of the money to fund a project should not come from CIL. It should not be used to fund entire projects. Please note; schemes that will be relying totally on CIL will not be considered favourably. Schemes that already have a large amount/majority funding in place will receive a higher score. The security of the funding should also be considered. The majority of funding should be sought from other sources.   |
| 8  | Deliverability  | Through their bid we would expect the applicant to show evidence that the project is well managed. Have they provided for example:   |
|  |   | - clear dates for start and finish of the project.   |
|  |   | -details of the management of the project and timescales   |
|  |   | -details of when they will provide updates to SDC  |
| whether planning permission or other consents is required or sought? Bids that have planning permission in place will be looked upon favourably. This assessment should also include considering whether the works require PP or whether the proposal is permitted development. This assessment should also ensure that the applicant has checked this issue. It is considered that large scale projects which are supported by a number of neighbourhood, local and business plans are unlikely to have all their paperwork and funding in place. Therefore this part of the assessment should also consider the benefits of a larger scheme against the fact that they do not have all their permissions in place. |   |  |
| 9  | Does the Bid have local support?  | In particular, does the Bid have the support of a local member, a local organisation or business and/or the Parish and Town Council? Bids that have local support are more likely to be looked upon favourably.  |
| 10   | Has the project already had CIL funding?  | A lower score will be given for those projects which have already received CIL funding via the CIL Spending Board or have benefited from CIL exemption. Unless a strong justification can be provided as to why further funding is required. Projects which are working in Partnership and include CIL funding from Parish or Town Councils will be looked upon more favourably.   |
| 11   | Evaluation of the overall benefits of the scheme and the benefit it provides to the community | Higher scores will be given to those projects which show that they have sought the majority of funding from other sources and overall provide clear evidence of a community benefit or need. Projects where the CIL money would complete the scheme will also be scored highly.  |